

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 27, 2003

LB 65, 283, 759

amendments to LB 65.

SPEAKER BROMM: The motion is the adoption of the E & R amendments to LB 65. All in favor say aye. Opposed nay. The amendments are adopted.

CLERK: I have nothing further on the bill, Senator.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Mossey.

SENATOR MOSSEY: Mr. Speaker, I move the advancement of LB 65 to E & R for engrossing.

SPEAKER BROMM: The motion is to advance LB 65 to E & R for engrossing. All in favor say aye. Opposed nay. It is advanced. We'll now go to Select File special order, LB 283, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 283 The Enrollment and Review amendments were considered and adopted on May 21. At that time, following that, there was a motion to bracket the bill that prevailed. When the Legislature adjourned, Senator Brown had pending AM1975. (Legislative Journal page 1888.)

SPEAKER BROMM: We'll then return to the discussion of AM1975, offered by Senator Brown. I would offer Senator Landis a minute or two, if he has...if he wants to bring the body up to date on this bill since it had been discussed previously.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature. There are a couple of ideas in this bill that might easily be ignored by the course of the coming conversation. This does have the liquor tax amounts that are equal to that amount that are in LB 759. However, there's a distinguishing characteristic. This bill has the E clause adopted. If the E clause is successful, we would get the liquor money three months earlier. That would account for about \$1 million. Now, given where we are, two-thirds of the liquor lobby support that; one-third does not. Beer folks don't; the other two do. But there's \$1 million of money not otherwise available in LB 283 that doesn't appear anywhere else, so that's